

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/630,636	10/630,636 07/30/2003		Su-May Yu	08919-088001 13A-910410	4976
26161	7590	10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI P.O. BOX 1		ON PC	BAUM, STUART F		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1638	
•				DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/630,636	YU, SU-MAY			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stuart F. Baum	1638			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	<i>,</i> —	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		:x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>17-20,22-35,42,44 and 47-51</u> is/are pode 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>18,20,23,29-33,42,44 and 47</u> is/are all Claim(s) <u>17, 19, 22, 24-28, 34-35, 48-51</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. lowed. rejected.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,636 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendment filed 8/7/2006 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 17-20, 22-35, 42, 44, 47-51 are pending.

Claims 1-16, 21, 36-41, 43, 45-46 have been canceled.

Claims 47-51 have been newly added and are drawn to the elected invention.

- 3. Claims 17-20, 22-35, 42, 44, 47-51 including SEQ ID NO:1 encoding SEQ ID NO:7 are examined in the present office action.
- 4. Rejections and objections not set forth below are withdrawn.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Objections

6. Applicant is advised that should claim 42 be found allowable, claim 44 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Written Description

7. Claims 17, 19, 22, 24-28 and 34-35 remain rejected and new claims 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the Official action mailed 4/5/2006. Applicant's arguments filed 8/7/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend amended claim 17 now recites that the nucleic acid that hybridizes under stringent conditions to SEQ ID NO:1 also encodes a polypeptide that binds to DNA containing one or more copies of a TATCCA sequence and that this functional limitation is analogous to Example 9, and adequately narrows the claimed genus (page 7 of Remarks, bottom paragraph). Applicants contend the claimed genus would not include the other two MYB proteins disclosed in the specification, i.e., OsMYBS2 and OsMYBS3 of SEQ ID NO:2 and 3, respectively (page 8 of Remarks, top paragraph). Applicants contend OsMYBS1 of SEQ ID NO:1 only shares 72% sequence identity within a 165-base segment out of more than 1000 bases of OsMYBS2, and OsMYBS1 does not share a significant sequence homology with OsMYBS3 (*Ibid*). Applicants contend, without significant sequence homology spanning their entire lengths, two nucleic acid molecule are unlikely to hybridize to each other under stringent conditions. Applicants contend, their claimed genus is directed to nucleic acid molecules comprising at least 500 bases and hybridize to SEQ ID NO:1 under stringent conditions (*Ibid*).

The Office contends Applicants have not fulfilled the written description requirements because Applicants have not demonstrated that they are in possession of the broadly claimed

genus. Applicants have not disclosed a representative number of polynucleotide sequences falling within the scope of nucleic acid molecules comprising at least 500 bases that encodes a protein that binds to DNA containing one or more copies of TATCCA. Applicants have not disclosed essential regions of a polypeptide encoded by said polynucleotide. Applicants have only disclosed one sequence of SEQ ID NO:1. The Office contends that Applicants have not disclosed relevant identifying characteristics, i.e., complete or partial structure, other physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics when coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or some combination of such characteristics that adequately demonstrates Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention. The Office contends that the recited functional limitation is not specific to Applicants' invention as other MYB proteins with different regulatory roles also bind to said TATCCA sequence (See page 6, lines 27-30 of the specification) and therefore, said functional limitation is not analogous to Example 9 of the written description guidelines, and therefore, the written description requirements have not been fulfilled.

Scope of Enablement

8. Claims 17, 19, 22, 24-28 and 34-35 remain rejected and new claims 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for SEQ ID NO:1 encoding SEQ ID NO:7 wherein SEQ ID NO:7 binds to a sugar response sequence (SRS) and effects transcription of a coding sequence operably linked to the SRS, does not reasonably provide enablement for nucleic acid sequences that comprise a sequence of at least 500 bases and hybridize to SEQ ID NO:1 or the complement thereof under stringent conditions; and plant or

cell transformation therewith and method comprising said nucleic acid sequence. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. This rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the Official action mailed 4/5/2006. Applicant's arguments filed 8/7/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that amended claim 17 covers a narrow genus of nucleic acid molecules encoding proteins capable of binding to DNA containing one of more copies of TATCCA (page 9 or Remarks, 3rd full paragraph). Applicants contend, given the small number of sequences encompassed by amended claim 17, and given the teachings in the specification concerning methods of assaying for the required binding activity, undue experimentation is not required by one of skill in the art (*Ibid*).

The Office contends that amended claim 17 covers a broad genus, as discussed above. The Office contends Applicants have not taught how one of skill in the art would identify and isolate any of the multitude of sequences encompassed by Applicants' broad claims. In addition, using the DNA sequence TATCCA, or multiple copies thereof, one of skill in the art would identify a multitude of polypeptides that can bind to said sequence, even though they interact with different biological molecules and have different activities that relate to transcription (See paragraph bridging pages 15 and 16 and page 17, lines 21-19 for example). Therefore, given the multitude of sequences encompassed in Applicants' broad claims and given the lack of guidance and examples; the unpredictability in the art; and the state-of-the-art as discussed in the office

action mailed 9/12/2005, undue experimentation would be required to practice the broadly claimed invention, and therefore the invention is not enabled.

Page 6

- 9. Claims 17-20, 22-35, 42, 44 and 47-51 are deemed free of the prior art, given the failure of the prior art to teach or reasonably suggest an isolated nucleic acid comprising at least 500 bases, the sequence hybridizing under stringent condition (i.e., hybridization at 65°C, 0.5 X SSC, followed by washing at 45°C, 0.1 X SSC, see page 5, lines 14-15) to SEQ ID NO:1, or wherein the nucleic acid comprises SEQ ID NO:1 encoding SEQ ID NO:7; and method, isolated cell and plant comprising said nucleic acid.
- 10. Claims 18, 20, 23, 29-33 and 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected or objected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/630,636 Page 7

Art Unit: 1638

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stuart F. Baum whose telephone number is 571-272-0792. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached at 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Stuart F. Baum Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1638

October 13, 2006